



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/073,070
 02/07/2002
 Sang Bae Kim
 ASIA14.001AUS
 2399

 20995
 7590
 01/30/2004
 EXAMINER

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

ASINOVSKY, OLGA

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
ge in the	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •
	10/073,070	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Olga Asinovsky	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of a will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 i	December 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration. is/are rejected.	lication.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	nre: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abe ection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority documents. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority documents. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting as pecific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority documents.	nts have been received. Ints have been received it iority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Inst of the certified copies in its priority under 35 U.S. First sentence of the spectarovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No een received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data She s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	eet.
Attachment(s)		O O (DTO 442) D N-(-)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

The cancellation of claims 2, 10, 14-15, 28-29 and 33 is noted.

The applicants amend independent claims 1 and 26 by including the statement that "said ethylene-alpha-olefin elastomer has an ethylene content of from about 30 wt% to about 75 wt% without diene components."

New search has been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 16-27, 30-32 and 34-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schauder U.S. Patent 6,383,439 in view of Oliver U.S. Patent 4,594,386.

Schauder'439 reference has been discussed under the 102(e) rejection at pages 2-4 of the office action mailed on 02/06/03, paper No. 7 and is incorporated here by reference.

Schauder'439 discloses chemically modified elastomers and blend thereof with polyolefins or polyamides or a mixture thereof for obtaining a polymer composition, column 1, lines 48-66 and column 2, lines 8-12. An ethylene-higher alpha-olefin elastomer comprises a first polymer fraction having an ethylene

Art Unit: 1711

content of from 30 to 80 wt% and a diene content of from 1.0 to 12 wt%, and a second polymer fraction having an ethylene content of from 40 to 90wt% and a diene content of from 0 to 12 wt%, column 1, lines 49-62. The ethylene-higher alpha-olefin polymer is grafted with an unsaturated organic compound containing at least one carbonyl group, column 1, lines 49-52, for the present claims. The grafted monomer having a carbonyl group such as a maleic anhydride is readable in the present claims 1, 21, 26 and 38. The ethylene content for said ethylene-alpha-olefin polymer is from 30 to 80 and from 40 to 90 wt.%, column 1, lines 56 and 61, for the present claims 16-18 and 34-36. The grafting initiator such as a dialkyl peroxides are readable in the present claims 1 and 5. The graft polymerization is performed by a melt reaction with a peroxide and an unsaturated compound having a carbonyl function in a twin screw extruder having four temperature zones of 170 to 210 C, column 7, example 1, for the present claims 1 and 8. The conditions for grafting are readable in the present claims. The grafted polymer and the additional polymers are combined by melt blending in the extruder, column 5, lines 10-13. The drying condition is inherent in Schauder invention. Schauder'439 discloses that the grafted polymers are using as modifiers for polyamide, column 5, line 20.

The difference between the present claims and Schauder'439 is the requirement in the present claims 1 and 26 that an ethylene-alpha-olefin elastomer is without diene component. Schauder discloses that a diene component can be present in the content of from 1.0 to 12 wt%, column 1, line 57.

Art Unit: 1711

Oliver'386 discloses a composition comprising a blend of a polyamide and grafted ethylene-propylene copolymer rubber, abstract. The ethylene-propylene copolymer rubber is EPM rubber having the ratio of ethylene to propylene in the range of 55-88 moles ethylene to 45-12 moles propylene, column 2, lines 1-3. the grafted EPM rubber is in the form of fine particles, column 1, line 55. The grafted EPM rubber is readable in the amended claims 1 and 26, wherein an ethylene-alpha-olefin elastomer is without diene component. The maleic anhydride grafted EPM provides an improvement in the impact strength of the nylon-polyamide, column 13, lines 40-41.

Both references disclose using a grafted ethylene-alpha-olefin elastomer as a modifier for improving the compatibility and impact strength between the EPM rubber in Oliver or EP(D)M rubber in Schauder with polyamides. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the part of an ethylene-alpha-olefin elastomer having a diene content of from 1.0-12 wt% in the Schauder's invention with a EPM rubber in Oliver invention for the purposes to eliminate a diene component, and thereby, obtain the claimed requirement. The prima facie case of obviousness is that an ethylene-alpha-olefin-diene rubber having a diene content of from 1.0-12 wt% in Schauder and a EPM rubber in Oliver has very close elastomeric properties since both EP(D)M in Schauder and EPM in Oliver could have the same ethylene contents that are readable in applicants' claims.

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 32 recites the limitation "ethylene-propylene-diene rubber, ethylene-butene-diene rubber, ethylene-octene-diene rubber, and mixture thereof" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 32 is depending on claim 26. Claim 26 excludes a diene component by the present amendment by the statement "without diene components."
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

OK

O.A. Jan. 25, 2004 Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidlsck Supervisory Patert Examiner Technology Castor (700